Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,543	BERG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY H. MURRAY	1624
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) <u>JEFFREY H. MURRAY</u> .	(3)	
(2) KENNETH MITCHELL.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4/19/2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)(⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 4.7 and 15-19.		
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached. h)	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gene reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's attorney as informed attorney that the common undicomosotion claims and the properties of </u>	nd examiner discussed the press sappeared to be in condition for se they would require further co- ne application in beter condition	ent application. Examiner r allowance, but the method nsideration and a new search, for allowance.
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to INVENTE ON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFLE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alread FR OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS , WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
/Jeffrey H Murray/ Examiner, Art Unit 1624	/J. O. W./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art I	Jnit 1624

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20090416